



#### Relativistic Electron Loss Timescales in the Slot Region

<sup>1</sup>Nigel P. Meredith, <sup>1</sup>Richard B. Horne, <sup>1</sup>Sarah A. Glauert <sup>2</sup>Daniel N. Baker, <sup>2</sup>Shrikanth G. Kanekal, and <sup>3</sup>Jay M. Albert

> <sup>1</sup>British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK <sup>2</sup>NASA, GSFC, USA <sup>3</sup>AFRL, Kirtland AFB, USA

The research leading to these results was partly funded by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreements No 262468 SPACECAST and No 606716 SPACESTORM

> IWEPPNES, Paris 17<sup>th</sup>-23<sup>rd</sup> May, 2015



# **Slot Region Dynamics**

- Slot region
  - is not always empty.
  - can become filled during exceptionally large storms such as the Halloween Storms of 2003.
  - subsequently reforms over the following weeks to months.



Baker et al., Nature, 2004



#### SAMPEX 2-6 MeV Electrons

# **Slot Region Loss Timescales**

- Loss timescales for 2-6 MeV electrons in the centre of the slot at L = 2.5 are estimated to be of the order of 2.9 – 4.6 days.
- This is consistent with theoretical expectations based on losses due to plasmaspheric hiss [Meredith et al., 2007].







#### **Slot Region Loss Timescales**



- The experimental lifetime at L = 2.0 is ~20 days [Baker et al., 2007].
- This lifetime is much shorter than the theoretical estimates of a few hundred days as a result of losses due to plasmaspheric hiss alone.
  [Meredith et al., 2007].

#### **Slot Region Loss Timescales**



- In this study we perform a comprehensive survey of relativistic electron loss timescales in the slot region.
- We compare SAMPEX observations with theoretical predictions derived from wave models based on CRRES observations.



# **Slot Region Dynamics**

- The flux at each location is characterised by a number of abrupt rises followed by gradual exponential decay.
- The abrupt increases
  - can be as large as 5 orders of magnitude.



# **Slot Region Dynamics**

- The flux at each location is characterised by a number of abrupt rises followed by gradual exponential decay.
- The abrupt increases
  - can be as large as 5 orders of magnitude.
  - are associated with strong storms and enhanced magnetic activity.



To quantify the decay rates we fit an exponential function of the form:

$$J = J_0 exp(-t/\tau)$$



To quantify the decay rates we fit an exponential function of the form:

$$J = J_0 \exp(-t/\tau)$$

L	τ (days)
3.0	8.0 ± 2.6



To quantify the decay rates we fit an exponential function of the form:

$$J = J_0 \exp(-t/\tau)$$

L	τ <b>(days)</b>
3.0	8.0 ± 2.6
2.5	3.6 ± 1.6



To quantify the decay rates we fit an exponential function of the form:

$$J = J_0 exp(-t/\tau)$$

L	τ <b>(days)</b>
3.0	8.0 ± 2.6
2.5	3.6 ± 1.6
2.0	20 ± 9.5

### **Lifetime of 2 MeV Electrons**



## **Broadband Plasmaspheric Emissions**

- Broadband plasmaspheric emissions can be split into two categories [Meredith et al., 2006]:
  - Plamaspheric hiss
    - 100 Hz < f < 2 kHz
    - generated by whistler mode chorus
  - Lightning-generated whistlers
    - 2 kHz < f < 5 kHz
    - produced by thunderstorms on Earth



### **Calculation of Loss Timescales from Waves**

- Use global models of the wave spectral intensity based on CRRES observations.
- Calculate bounce-averaged pitch angle diffusion rates using the PADIE code [Glauert and Horne, 2005].
- Determine the loss timescale and the evolution of the pitch angle distribution using the 1D pitch angle diffusion equation following Lyons et al., [1972].



Meredith et al., JGR, 2007



- Wave power in the frequency range 100 Hz – 5 kHz is dominated by plasmaspheric hiss.
- We begin by considering the effect of hiss during quiet conditions (AE\* < 100 nT).



- At L = 3.0 there is a minimum in the diffusion rates at large pitch angles, but the diffusion rate does not fall by more than a factor of 2 compared to the edge of the loss cone.
- The pitch angle distribution quickly reaches an equilibrium state and decays exponentially at all pitch angles on a timescale of 12 days.

0 50 100 150 200 elapsed time (days)



- At L = 2.5 there is a larger minimum in the diffusion rate.
- The pitch angle distribution quickly reaches an equilibrium state and decays exponentially at all pitch angles on a timescale of 7 days.



- At L = 2.0 there is a very deep minimum in the diffusion rate.
- This dramatically effects the evolution of the PAD:
  - The decay is pitch angle dependent.
  - The distribution initially decays more rapidly at smaller pitch angles.
- Once an equilibrium shape is reached the entire distribution decays with a timescale of 278 days.

#### **Lifetime of 2-6 MeV Electrons**



#### **Lifetimes due to Plasmaspheric Hiss**



## **SAMPEX Measurements at L = 2.0**



- At L = 2.0 the decay is pitch angle dependent.
- SAMPEX makes measurements at low altitudes (~600 km).
- A locally mirroring particle has an equatorial pitch angle of ~18° at L = 2.0.
- Here we should compare SAMPEX measurements with the model flux at 18°.

#### Flux Decay at L = 2.0



• SAMPEX measures a relatively constant exponential decay.

#### Flux Decay at L = 2.0



- Decay of the model flux at  $\alpha_{eq} = 18^{\circ}$  is time-dependent and cannot explain the observations.
- Losses due to plasmaspheric hiss alone cannot explain the losses at L = 2.0.



# Losses due to Hiss and LGWs

- We now consider the combined effect of lightning-generated whistlers (LGWs) and plasmaspheric hiss.
- LGWs dominate the spectrum above 2 kHz and so we add an additional component from 2-5 kHz.
- We again present the results for quiet conditions (AE\* < 100 nT)



# Losses due to Hiss and LGWs

 At L = 2.5 and L = 3.0 there is little or no change in the diffusion rates and virtually no change in the loss rates.



# Losses due to Hiss and LGWs

- At L = 2.0, the effect of the additional wave power is to increase the diffusion rates in the deep minimum.
- The distribution now evolves more quickly to an equilibrium state and decays with a lifetime of 34 days.

#### **Evolution of the Flux at SAMPEX altitudes**



• Decay of the model flux at  $\alpha_{eq} = 18^{\circ}$  gives reasonable agreement with the data.

#### **Lifetimes due to Plasmaspheric Hiss**



L

#### Lifetimes due to Hiss and LGWs



# **Magnetosonic Waves**

- Magnetosonic waves may also scatter radiation belt electrons at large pitch angles [Horne et al., 2007].
- These waves are
  - intense electromagnetic emissions
  - $f_{cH} < f < f_{LHR}$
  - observed near the equatorial plane
  - -2 < L < 7



#### Horne et al., 2007





#### Losses due to MSWs

- We cannot uniquely identify MSWs in the CRRES data.
- We use global averages of the wave spectral intensity observed within ±3° of the equator as an estimate of the upper limit.



# Losses due to MSWs

- During quiet conditions MSWs make only a small change to the diffusion rates at large pitch angles.
- The resulting lifetime of 143 days is too long to explain the observations.



# Losses due to MSWs

- During active conditions MSWs increase the diffusion rates at large pitch angles.
- The resulting loss timescale is 43 days.
- MSWs could contribute to the loss timescales during active conditions.
- Better models of MSWs required to establish the role of these waves.



# Conclusions

- Pitch angle scattering by plasmaspheric hiss is responsible for electron loss in the outer slot region (2.3 < L < 3.0)
- In the inner slot region (2.0 < L < 2.3) electron loss is driven by plasmaspheric hiss and lightning-generated whistlers.
- Magnetosonic waves may also contribute to electron loss at L = 2.0 but better wave models are required to determine the precise role of these waves.



#### Loss Timescales for 2.0 < L < 4.0

